Gap Analysis and Action Plan to support the implementation of The Concordat to Support the Career Development of Researchers University of Bristol December 2014

Progress update December 2016

Progress Update December 2018

A: RECRUITMENT AND SELECTION

Principle 1: Recognition of the importance of recruiting, selecting and retaining researchers with the highest potential to achieve excellence in research.

	Clause	Evidence for current compliance	Actions	Lead(s)	Timescale
1.1	All members of the UK research	This is demonstrated by the	No additional actions		
	community should understand that	University's commitment to research	identified.*		
	researchers are chosen primarily for	staff over last 11 years – evidence in			
	their ability to advance research at an	past copies of Research staff annual			
	institution.	reports:			
		http://www.bris.ac.uk/researchstaff/p			
		olicies/annual-reports.html			
		The University has dedicated staff			
		within Academic Staff Development			
		providing tailored support and			
		development and has demonstrated a			
		commitment to this staff group for			
		many years, including through the			

_				
		work of the Research Staff Reps and		
		Working Party.		
		Research Staff Development is now		
		integrated into the broader People		
		Strategy and specifically mentioned in		
		the associated action plan here:		
		http://www.bris.ac.uk/hr/people-		
		strategy/people-strategy-2014-		
		2016.pdf		
		Early Career research staff are		
		specifically mentioned in the new		
		University Strategy including in the		
		"Our staff and ways of working		
		section".		
		Section .		
		Activity is reported on regularly		
		through internal updates to various		
		committees.		
1.2	Employers should strive to attract	Use of University role profiles makes		
1.2	excellence and respect diversity (see	researcher role requirements clear.		
	Principle 6). Recruitment and selection	researcher role requirements clear.		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The University's regulitment and		
	procedures should be informative,	The University's recruitment and		
	transparent and open to all qualified	selection process is fully compliant		
	applicants regardless of background.	with the UK Chartered Institute of		
	Person and vacancy specifications must	Personnel and Development's (CIPD)		
	clearly identify the skills required for the	best practice and reflects our		
	post and these requirements should be	commitment to equality and diversity:		
	relevant to the role.	http://www.bristol.ac.uk/jobs/diversit		
		<u>y.html</u>		

Research vacancies are advertised			
widely and open for 4 weeks to attract			
the best possible international field of			
candidates, including jobs.ac.uk, Times			
Higher, Guardian Jobs, Research Gate			
and Nature (where appropriate) as			
well as subject specific web sites.			
All members of any interview panel at	Sample monitor attendance	Eddie Procter,	Once per
the University must first attend a	on recruitment and	Resourcing Manager	year in
training session which includes	selection training of panel		November.
information on Equality and Diversity.	composition to check	Richard Boyce,	
HR provide full day recruitment	adherence to policy.	Resourcing Manager	
training, tailored training for specific	Success measure is 100%		
departments and small group or 1:1	compliance.		
refresher sessions.			
This training has been reviewed and			
re-focused and now includes	When we tried to ascertain		
unconscious bias as a core topic. It	this information, we found		
runs for half a day to engage more	it was not possible as we		
people and a number of tailored	had changed our training		
sessions are run in Schools.	records system 3 years ago		
	and do not have data going		
Full explanation here:	back further than this. We		
http://www.bristol.ac.uk/jobs/applicat	found that in Nov 2016, of		
ion-process.html	50 panel members for		
	research staff roles, only 3		
The International Office provides	had been on the training in		
comprehensive information and advice	the last 3 years. However, a		
on working in the UK and Bristol to	number of them had been		
prospective candidates and new	at the institution for much		
members of staff. Further information	longer and may well have		
at:	attended before. We have		

	http://www.bristol.ac.uk/international -office/staff-support/	therefore decided to focus on providing refresher training within schools. New Action: Design and run refresher training for experienced panellists who want to update their skills and knowledge. Success measure is that a session	Richard Boyce, Resourcing Manager	October 2017
	The University's new strategy places a focus on our international staff and the associated support for this group has been reviewed resulting in budget allocated to recruit a new post in the HR resourcing team to support this staff group. This will now sit in HR	has been run in every school. Completed - 22 refresher training sessions have been run, covering 75% of academic schools and 350 staff. New Action: Recruit person to HR resourcing team to support international staff and build webpages and information to support this group. Success measure is a member of staff in post.	Richard Boyce, Resourcing Manager	Recruited to role by March 2017
	rather than the International Office which has a focus on students.	Completed – We now have an International Adviser role in place in the Resourcing team who is a point of contact for this group of staff. They have		

	developed webpa	M. M	
	http://www.brist		
	obs/relocation-su	pport/, a	
	welcome email, a	buddy	
	scheme and other	r support	
	(see report).		
	New Action: Once	Claire Wrixon,	Agreed
	person is in post,	survey Research Staff	actions on
	staff about the sp		monitoring
	challenges in this		the support
	then the uptake/v	•	by June 2017
	this support via th		5, Julie 2017
	survey 2017 or ot		
	surveys. Success n		
	that views improv		
	new provision has		
	in place – until we		
	what our baseline		
	cannot determine		
	figures (we will up	odate this	
	when we have a b		
	Completed – staff	were	
	surveyed about th		
	useful types of su		
	they require and t	* . *	
	have been implen		
	We will ask staff a		
	their experiences		
	CROS 2019 (see no		
	plan).	33.3.1	
	high.		

1.3	Research posts should only be	Fixed term contracts procedure			
	advertised as a fixed-term post where	(Ordinance 26)			
	there is a recorded and justifiable	http://www.bristol.ac.uk/personnel/ft			
	reason.	c/ordinance26.html goes significantly			
		further than the legislation requires in			
		a number of important respects.			
		Bristol currently has one of the lowest			
		percentages of Research Staff			
		employed on FTC's in the UK			
		' '			
		Review took place in 2014 along with a	Substantially extend the use	Guy Gregory, HR	Oct 2015
		review of the redundancy ordinance.	of open-ended contracts for	Director	
		RS reps and RSWP were involved in the	research staff –		
		consultation.	recommendations to be		
		consultation.	considered by Senate Dec		
			2014 and approved by		
			Council in Feb 2015.		
			Implementation over 2015.		
			Completed – see 6 year		
			report for data.		
	-		N. 11111		
1.4	To assure fairness, consistency and the	Clear guidance on recruitment which	No additional actions		
	best assessment of the candidates'	follows these principles:	identified.*		
	potential, recruitment and progression	http://www.bristol.ac.uk/personnel/re			
	panels should reflect diversity as well as	<u>cruitment/</u>			
	a range of experience and expertise. In				
	order to promote these values,	It is mandatory for all staff involved in			
	individuals who are members of	the recruitment process to undergo a			
	recruitment and promotion panels	one day training programme.			
	should have received relevant recent				
	training. Unsuccessful applicants should	Gender diversity is promoted on all			
	be given appropriate feedback if	selection panels.			
L	0 166 16 111 111 111	•			

	requested as this may be of assistance				
	to the researcher in considering their				
	further career development.				
1.5	The level of pay or grade for researchers	The University's Academic Career	Monitor perceived fairness	Jackie Noordon	Sept 2017.
	should be determined according to the	Pathways and grading scale ensures	of grade allocation amongst		
	requirements of the post, consistent	this. There is now a totally transparent	research staff. Success	Alison Wall, Assistant	
	with the pay and grading arrangements	pay and grading system for all	measure is 75% feel it is fair	Director HR (HR	
	of the research organisation.	academic staff (inc researchers).	by 2017 CROS survey.	Operations)	
		http://www.bristol.ac.uk/personnel/gr	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Орегаціонізу	
		ading/academic/	There was significant		
			engagement with research		
			staff in 2017 around their		
			progression and promotion		
			which surfaced these issues		
			again so we did not ask this		
			in CROS 2017. This will be		
			addressed as part of the		
			review of these policies		
			(see new action plan 2.5).		
			(see new determ plan 2.5).		
			Review and update	Fiona Ford, Deputy	Dec 2015
			academic role profiles		Dec 2015
			•	HR Director/Head of	
			(including research staff job	Organisational	
			titles) and implement	Development	
			changes.		
			Completed – see 6 year		
			report		

B: RECOGNITION AND VALUE

Principle 2: Researchers are recognised and valued by their employing organisation as an essential part of their organisation's human resources and a key component of their overall strategy to develop and deliver world-class research.

	Clause	Evidence for current compliance	Actions	Lead(s)	Timescale
2.1	Employers are encouraged to value and afford equal treatment to all researchers, regardless of whether they are employed on a fixed term or similar contract. In particular, employers should ensure that the development of researchers is not undermined by instability of employment contracts. This approach should be embedded throughout all departmental structures and systems	Researchers on fixed-term contracts are not discriminated against in access to career development activities. There is no evidence to suggest that this is a barrier to career development at the University. However, the new fixed-term contract (FTC) policy position (see 1.3) will largely remove research staff from FTCs	Implement revised policy (see 1.3). Completed.	Guy Gregory, HR Director	Oct 2015.
2.2	Commitment by everyone involved to improving the stability of employment conditions for researchers and implementing and abiding by the principles and terms laid down in the Fixed Term Employees (Prevention of Less Favourable Treatment) Regulations (2002) and Joint Negotiating Committee for Higher Education Staff (JNCHES) guidance on the use of fixed-term contracts will provide benefits for researchers, research managers, and their organisations.	Fixed term contracts procedure (Ordinance 26) http://www.bristol.ac.uk/hr/ftc-index/ goes significantly further than the legislation requires in a number of important respects. Reviewed in 2015 to extend open-ended contracts to the majority of research staff on fixed-term funding (see 1.3 and 6 year report for details).	See 1.3		
2.3	Research managers should be required to participate in active performance	The University delivers a suite of Leadership and management	Review, update and re- launch leadership	Alison Leggett, Head of Academic Staff	Oct 2015
	management, including career	programmes and courses for	programmes in order to	Development	

development guidance, and supervision	academics at various levels of their	ensure a structured		
of those who work in their teams.	career.	programme which is		
Employers should ensure that research		tailored to the needs at		
managers are made aware of, and	Leadership is a core strand of the	different levels.		
understand their responsibilities for the	University's CREATE (Cultivating	Completed and launched		
management of researchers and should	Research and Teaching Excellence)	in 2016.		
provide training opportunities, including	scheme which is mandatory for all new			
equality and diversity training, to	lecturers. Research Supervision is also a	Evaluate and review these	Alison Leggett, Head	Oct 2017
support research managers in doing	core session which all must attend.	programmes.	of Academic Staff	
this. Institutions will wish to consider		Completed and launched	Development	
how research managers' performance in		in 2016, will be reported		
these areas is developed, assessed and	All those who act as reviewers in the	on in 2018 report. Success		
rewarded, and how effectively this	University's Staff Review (SR&D)	measure is 90% would		
supports good research management.	process must first receive training.	recommend the		
		programmes.		
		In 2017/18 across all		
		programmes 95.3% would		
		recommend them.		
		Continue roll-out of	Fiona Ford, Deputy HR	Apr 2015
		training to all those who	Director/Head of	
		review research staff.	Organisational	
		Success measure is 100% of	Development	
		reviewers on the system		
		have been trained.		
		We have 797 Academic		
		reviewers on the system		
		and 1243 academics		
		trained. Majority on		
		system are trained or are		
		waiting to attend		
		training/booked on.		

mo rev My bee me rev hav Coo 200 the hav tra	ew Action: Continue to nonitor whether all eviewers on the lyReview system have een trained. Success reasure is 100% of eviewers on the system ave been trained. complete – As of Dec 1018, 70% of reviewers on the MyReview system ave completed the raining (see new action lan)	Sue Clyne, Deputy HR Director/Head of Organisational Development	Ongoing but review Oct 2017
tra SR sta of t (inc sur finc Suc inc 809	valuate the impact of this aining on the uptake of R&D amongst research raff and their experience of the review process including via CROS). In last curvey, 70% reported inding the process useful. Success measure is to increase engagement to 10% and 70% of CROS is pondents still report it is useful.	Fiona Ford, Deputy HR Director/Head of Organisational Development	Apr 2016

			Completed - In the 2015 CROS survey 73% found it useful. In 2015/16 58% of research staff had engaged in SR&D New Action: Ask this again in CROS 2017 and aim for the success measure of 80% engaged and 80% found it useful. Completed - CROS 2017 says 69.5% of research staff have had a Staff Review which is an increase. Overall, 74.2% found it useful or very useful which is also an increase.	Claire Wrixon, Research Staff Development Officer	July 2017
2.4	Organisational systems must be capable of supporting continuity of employment for researchers, such as funding between grants, other schemes for supporting time between grant funding, or systems for redeploying researchers within organisations where resources allow. Funders are expected to make it a priority to consider how their policies,	University's e-recruit system enables staff to register in a University-wide redeployment pool. All vacancies advertised at the University must first go to the redeployment pool for matching and matched staff are given one week to apply for the role before it is advertised more widely.	No additional actions identified.*		

	guidance and funding can be enhanced	Bridging funding is at the discretion of			
	to help employers to achieve this	the Dean of each faculty.			
	objective.				
2.5	Pay progression for researchers should	Clear guidelines on Career Pathways	No additional actions		
	be transparent and in accordance with	and Promotions Procedures and	identified.*		
	procedures agreed between the	Criteria for researchers are published			
	relevant trade unions and the	on the University website.			
	employers nationally and locally. In	http://www.bristol.ac.uk/personnel/gr			
	HEIs, pay progression will be in	ading/academic/movement/			
	accordance with the Framework Agreement, though recognising the	UP managers deliver requies briefings			
	flexibility that institutions have in	HR managers deliver regular briefings on progression and promotion			
	implementing the Framework.	processes within Schools.			
	implementing the Framework.	processes within schools.			
		Opportunity for research staff to	New Action: Raise	Richard Boyce,	
		progress within grant funding was	awareness of these	Resourcing Manager.	
		raised by the Research Staff Reps and	guidelines through	Theorem and Thursday	
		resulted in new guidance being	recruitment and selection		
		provided for PIs to ensure that this is	training and online		
		considered when applying for a grants	guidance.		
		and using the FEC tool.			
			Complete: Online guidance		
			can be found here:		
			www.bristol.ac.uk/media-		
			library/sites/staffdevelop		
			ment/documents/rs-		
			hub/RSWP%20paper%20o		
			n%20Pathway%202%20mo		
			vement%20and%20progre		
			ssion.pdf		
2.6	Researchers need to be offered	See 2.5			
	opportunities to develop their own				

careers as well as having access to
additional pay progression. Promotion
opportunities should be transparent,
effectively communicated and open to
all staff. It is helpful if clear career
frameworks for early stage researchers
are outlined in organisational HR
strategies.

People strategy is available on the HR website and the action plan mentions research staff specifically:
http://www.bris.ac.uk/hr/people-strategy/people-strategy-2014-2016.pdf

There is a new <u>University strategy</u> which references early career researchers and incorporates a section on "Our staff and ways of working".

C: SUPPORT AND CAREER DEVELOPMENT

Principle 3: Researchers are equipped and supported to be adaptable and flexible in an increasingly diverse, mobile, global research environment.

Principle 4: The importance of researchers' personal and career development, and lifelong learning, is clearly recognised and promoted at all stages of their career.

	Clause	Evidence for current compliance	Actions	Lead(s)	Timescale
3.1	It is recognised that positions of	Role profiles now enable research staff	See 1.5		
	permanent employment are limited in	to clearly identify themselves as part of			
	the UK research and academic	a complete academic community at the			
	communities and that not all	University of Bristol.			
	researchers will be able to obtain such a				
	position. It is, therefore, imperative that	Staff Review and Development process			
	researcher positions in the UK are	facilitates career development	See 2.3		
	attractive in themselves (and not, for	discussions between research staff and			
	example, solely as potential stepping	their managers.			
	stones to permanent academic				
	positions). This requires that they	Research staff have access to	Design and pilot a	Alison Leggett, Head	Dec 2015
	provide career development which is	significant training in transferable skills	development programme	of Academic Staff	
		provided by Academic Staff	specifically for research	Development	

as we want to the said as we want to we with	Dougla margaret (ACD). Dataile and another	staff with personal		
comparable to, and competitive with,	Development (ASD). Details are on the ASD website and linked to the RDF.	•		
other employment sectors.		fellowships in order to		
	Research staff are alerted to upcoming	build this network across		
	opportunities by a fortnightly	the institution and to		
	development e-mail bulletin.	support our future		
		research leaders.		
		This was put on hold due		
		to other priorities and		
		during the strategy		
		consultation. Part of the		
		new strategy states that		
		we will have a VC		
		Fellowship scheme which		
		will include targeted		
		development activity		
		which where appropriate		
		will be extended to		
		externally-funded fellows.		
		New Action: Design and		
		launch a cohort-based	Alison Leggett, Head	Programme
		programme to support	of Academic Staff	designed
		development of awardees	Development	and
		of VC Fellowships. Success		advertised
		measure is an articulated		by
		programme, promoted to		November
		all VC fellows and 100%		2016.
		engagement.		Engagement
		Completed – we designed		can be
		and launched a cohort-		reviewed
		based programme in		July 2017
		November 2017, and there		

			was 100% engagement. This programme will be repeated in 2018. See website for full details		
			www.bristol.ac.uk/vc- fellows/		
3.2	A wide variety of career paths is open to researchers, and the ability to move between different paths is key to a successful career. It is recognised that this mobility brings great benefit to the UK economy and organisations will, therefore, wish to be confident that their culture supports a broad-minded approach to researcher careers and that all career paths are valued equally.	Academic Career Pathways set out how it is possible to move between academic roles. Training provided on successful transition to non-academic careers, e.g. Regular career seminar series and a webpage to help researchers consider other career options: www.bristol.ac.uk/staffdevelopment/a cademic/researchstaffhub/alternatives L All reviewers for SR&D are required to undertake training, part of which focuses on careers outside academia and the support available to research staff who want to discuss this option. Fortnightly development e-mail bulletin includes relevant vacancies alternative careers.	Investigate ways to enhance provision around alternative careers using previous staff members who have left to pursue alternative careers. This has not yet been achieved as we have focused on reviewing and refreshing our workshop programme around this topic. We are looking into possibilities for getting better information about who these people are and tapping into them for mentoring and other provision. We will report back in 2018. Update - Having investigated HR data we	Alison Leggett, Head of Academic Staff Development Claire Wrixon, Research Staff Development Officer	Dec 2018
		We have replaced our fortnightly bulletin with a quarterly academic staff development newsletter and	discovered that determining where our previous staff members are working now is not		

		more regular targeted emails to research staff from the Research Staff Development Officer.	data we have successfully collected. We have therefore decided to focus on continuing our career seminars with PhD students who have gone on to a career outside of academia as these individuals are easier to trace via our alumni team. Also using data from the alumni team we will contact individuals who are in a variety of careers to see if they would be willing to be mentors to	
3.3	Employers, funders and researchers recognise that researchers need to develop transferable skills, delivered through embedded training, in order to stay competitive in both internal and external job markets. Therefore, as well as the necessary training and appropriate skills, competencies and understanding to carry out a funded project, researchers also need support to develop the communication and other professional skills that they will need to be both effective researchers	Training provided on successful transition to non-academic careers, e.g. Regular career seminar series: www.bristol.ac.uk/staffdevelopment/a cademic/researchstaffhub/alternatives We place an emphasis on transferable skills in RS training provision. Career story videos are available on research staff website:	our early career researchers. No additional actions identified.*	

and highly-skilled professionals in	http://www.bris.ac.uk/researchstaff/yo			
whatever field they choose to enter	urcareer/training/outsideac/index.html			
	These are a little out of date and Vitae			
	has a large library of these that we now direct people to.			
	now direct people to:			
	Career coaching and access to Careers			
	Service for all research staff:			
	www.bristol.ac.uk/staffdevelopment/a			
	cademic/researchstaffhub/opportuniti			
	es/rs-coaching/			
	We have introduced 30 min careers			
	guidance 1:1 appointments with the	New Action: Increase	Claire Wrixon,	Dec 2017
	Research Staff Development Officer to supplement the Career Coaching – see	number of 1:1 careers guidance appointments	Research Staff Development Officer.	
	report.	made available to research	Development Officer.	
	report.	staff by 50%.		
		Completed:		
		In 2016/17 we provided 65		
		1:1s and 100% of those		
		who gave us feedback		
		found them valuable. In		
		2017/18 we provided 94		
		1:1s and 98% of those who		
		appointments.		
		gave us feedback found them valuable. In 2018/19 we have scheduled 112 appointments.		

3.4	All employers will wish to review how their staff can access professional, independent advice on career management in general, particularly the prospect of employment beyond their immediate discipline base, or offering training and placements to broaden awareness of other fields and sectors.	See 3.2 and 3.3	No additional actions identified.*		
3.5	Researchers benefit from clear systems that help them to plan their career development. Employers and funding bodies should assist researchers to make informed choices about their career progression by ensuring that their own policies and processes for promotion and reward are transparent and clearly stated and that all researchers are aware of local and national career development strategies.	Staff Review and Development process facilitates career development discussions between research staff and their managers. Information about career development is communicated to all research staff via dedicated website and regular emails. Training targeted at research staff is mapped to the RDF. http://www.bristol.ac.uk/staffdevelop ment/academic/researchstaffhub/opp ortunities/workshops/ Promotion and progression criteria clearly explained on HR website.	See 2.3		
3.6	Employers should provide a planned induction programme for researchers, on appointment to a research post, to ensure early effectiveness through the understanding of the organisation and its policies and procedures. They should also ensure that research managers	All new research staff are invited to the main University induction day – "Welcome to the University".	Develop and deliver a new Academic Induction day with a specific strand targeted at research staff. Success measure is that 50% of those invited attend.	Alison Leggett, Head of Academic Staff Development	Jan 2016

provide effective research environments	Online induction videos detail various	New starter coffee		
for the training and development of	information of relevance to those new	mornings have been		
researchers and encourage them to	to the University.	introduced for research		
maintain or start their continuous		staff. We will continue to		
professional development.	Induction to the local work	review induction provision		
	environment and role takes place	and report again in Dec		
	within departments and teams.	2018.		
		Discontinued due to low attendance (19 attended over 9 months with 180 available spaces = <10%) See new action plan for an alternative approach.		
		arternative approach.		
	Academic Staff Development provides lists of all new research staff in each school to RS reps every month to enable them to make contact. People management for academics is a key strategic area for Academic Staff Development and it includes an emphasis on supporting the career development of your staff.	Record and make available induction videos specifically targeted at research staff. Measure impact through hit rate on these pages to inform communication strategy. Complete - These have been recorded, linked to on the hub and are sent to all new starters via email.	Andria Andiliou, Staff Development Officer (Academic Staff)	May 2015
		New Action: Monitor	Claire Wrixon,	Measure
		number of views these	Research Staff	monthly,
		videos have had to	Development Officer	review in
		measure engagement.	2 2 3 3 6 7 11 2 11 2 11 2	November
		Success measure is views		2017.
		Success measure is views		2017.

	increase by 50% within a		
	year. (Views are currently		
	up to 65 views across the 4		
	videos).		
	Complete - In 2017 the		
	videos had 117 views, so		
	far in 2018 they have had		
	75 views.		
	Review and update the	Andria Andiliou, Staff	May 2015
	Research Staff website,	Development Officer	
	including content for new	(Academic Staff)	
	staff. Complete – see		
	report.		
	New Action: Monitor	Claire Wrixon,	Measure
	number of unique visitors	Research Staff	monthly,
	to the website on a	Development Officer	review in
	monthly basis to measure		November
	engagement. Success		2017.
	measure is an increase in		
	visitors by 50% within a		
	year. (In Nov 2016 we had		
	131 unique visitors).		
	Complete - Nov 2016 -		
	Nov 2017 we had 110 new		
	visitors. Nov 2017 – Oct		
	2018 we had 223 new		
	visitors. See new action		
	plan as website has been		
	updated in line with new		
	developments.		

3.7	Employers and funders will wish to consider articulating the skills that should be developed at each stage of their staff development frameworks and should encourage researchers to acquire and practise those skills. For example, researchers may be given the opportunity to manage part of the	Role profiles articulate skills and responsibilities needed at different stages of researcher career pathway.	Develop a policy for research staff who teach to ensure clarity and consistency and appropriate access to training. Complete.	Alison Leggett, Head of Academic Staff Development	Oct 2015
	budget for a project, or to act as a mentor or advisor to other researchers and students.		New Action: Work with Research Staff Working Party to monitor the implementation of this policy. To do this we will start by: 1. Surveying every School Manager to ascertain whether there have been local processes put it place to support the implementation of the policy. 2. Surveying research staff to discover what opportunities they have to get involved in teaching activities and if they have been supported to attend the training.	Alison Leggett, Head of Academic Staff Development	May 2017 (a year after launch of policy)

			Success measures are that all schools have a process to support the implementation of the policy and that 70% of research staff who wish to be involved in teaching are given an opportunity to. This action has been delayed due to changes in HR staffing and is addressed in new action plan.		
3.8	Employers also should provide a specific research career development strategy for researchers at all stages of their career, regardless of their contractual situation, which should include the availability of mentors involved in providing support and guidance for the personal and professional development of researchers. All researchers should be familiar with such provisions and arrangements.	Access to career development activities is open to all researchers, detailed on ASD website and advertised via regular e-mails. Mentoring circles scheme for researchers — open to all across disciplines. Athena SWAN mentoring circles for women in STEMM. We reviewed these circles and it was decided that better value could be gained from a combination of 1:1 mentoring and enhanced workshop provision. We have developed a workshop to train mentors and we are	Develop online guidance for staff wanting to find a mentor and set up their own 1:1 mentoring relationship. Complete and these pages can be found on the website. We have also developed a mentoring workshop to train those new to being a mentor or who want to refresh their knowledge and have introduced a mentoring and coaching workshop as one of the options within our CREATE scheme. To date 39 people	Clare Brophy, Staff Development Manager (Coaching)	June 2015

	supporting individual schools to set up	have attended one of		
	mentoring schemes.	these sessions.		
	mentoring schemes.	these sessions.		
		Manage Alegas and all all and		
		We ran these workshops		
		again in 207/18 and 56		
		people attended.		
		Offer mentoring	Clare Brophy, Staff	Jan 2015
		supervision through the	Development	
		staff coaching service to	Manager (Coaching)	
		support mentors of these		
		circles. Seek feedback on		
		value – success measure is		
		that 90% who took it up		
		found it valuable.		
		As we are no longer		
		running these circles, this		
		is no longer offered. We		
		are providing an open		
		training session for		
		mentors and delivering		
		this training to support		
		specific local schemes. We		
		are in discussions about		
		how else we might support		
		mentors but no actions to		
		commit to yet.		
		,		
		In 2018 we have		
		developed a 1:1 Mentoring		
		scheme for research staff –		
		Scheme for research staff -		

			see 3.14 and new action	
			plan.	
			P	
3.9	Research managers should actively	Staff Review and Development process	See 2.3	
	encourage researchers to undertake	facilitates career development		
	Continuing Professional Development	discussions between research staff and		
	(CPD) activity, so far as is possible within	their managers.		
	the project. It should be stressed that			
	developmental activity can often have a	All reviewers must attend training		
	direct impact on the success of the	before reviewing staff. The training		
	project, by distributing work, taking	includes raising awareness of		
	advantage of individual strengths and	development and career opportunities		
	talents, and increasing the skill and	open to research staff.		
	effectiveness of researchers in key areas			
	such as writing for publication or			
	communicating with a wider audience.			
	Funding bodies acknowledge that the			
	training of researchers is a significant			
	contribution to research output and			
	they encourage employers and mentors			
	to adopt these practices.			
3.10	Researchers should be empowered by	Staff Review and Development process	See 2.3	
	having realistic understanding of, and	via online MyReview system facilitates		
	information about, their own career	career development discussions		
	development and career direction	between research staff and their		
	options as well as taking personal	managers.		
	responsibility for their choices at the			
	appropriate times. Employers should	Monitored by Staff survey and CROS		
	introduce appraisal systems for all	shows good feedback around impact		
	researchers for assessing their	from RS.		
	professional performance on a regular			
	basis and in a transparent manner. It is	Information about career development		
	important that researchers have access	is communicated to all Research staff		

-					
	to honest and transparent advice on	via dedicated website and regular e-			
	their prospects for success in their	mails.			
	preferred career.				
3.11	Employers will wish to ensure that	All research staff have access to	See 3.7		
	development activities open to	training on topics relevant to academic			
	researchers include preparation for	practice including:			
	·	l ·			
	academic practice. Employers should	- teaching and learning			
	take measures to ensure broad	(accredited)			
	recognition of CPD schemes from other	- people management			
	employing organisations as far as	- grant writing			
1	possible, so that researchers are not	all designed to prepare RS for academic			
	duly disadvantaged when moving from	practice.			
	one employer to another.				
			Review pilot of Starting to	Jane Pritchard, Staff	May 2016
			Teach sessions for research	Development	•
			staff. If successful, roll out	Manager (Academic	
			in line with new policy.	Staff)	
			Success measure is that in	36411)	
			feedback 80% of attendees		
			found it useful. Completed		
			•		
			and renamed to		
			distinguish from the		
			session for PGRs. Now		
			named "Teaching@Bristol		
			for Research Staff". In		
			2015/16 99% of		
			participants scored the		
			facilitator as quite or very		
			effective and 90% would		
			recommend the course to		
			others. The qualitative		
			feedback about the		
			reeupack about the		

			loorning was agreedly		
			learning was equally positive.		
			positive.		
			Pilot CREATE scheme route for research staff (HEA accredited). 54 members of research staff have enrolled in CREATE over the last 2 years and 9 have completed (3 level 1 and 6 level 2). Apart from 2 who suspended their enrolment, the rest are engaged in the programme but haven't yet completed.	Jane Pritchard, Staff Development Manager (Academic Staff)	July 2015
			80 members of research staff have enrolled on CREATE since 2016, and 34 have completed (28 level 1 and 6 level 1 and 2,) with 36 still to submit in January 2019. 3 have left the University after level 1 and been awarded AFHEA.		
3.12	Employers will ensure that where researchers are provided with teaching and demonstrating opportunities as part of their career development, suitable training and support is provided.	See 3.11 and 3.7			

3.13	Employers and researchers can often benefit if researchers have an input into policy and practice through appropriate representation at staff meetings and on organisation and management committees.	The University's Research staff reps committee and Research staff working party offers significant involvement in University policy making. Reps are encouraged to attend appropriate departmental staff meetings.	No additional actions identified.*		
3.14	Mentoring arrangements should be supported by employers as a key mechanism for career development and enhancement.	RS mentoring circles open to all research staff and Athena SWAN circles open to women in STEM. No longer running.	No additional actions identified.*		
	SEARCHERS' RESPONSIBILITIES ble 5: Individual researchers share the responsi	hilitu for and nood to are activaly angage in th	agir own norsanal and saraar day	volonment and lifelong leave	ning
Princip	Clause	Evidence for current compliance	Actions	Lead(s)	Timescale
5.1	Researchers are employed to advance	Role profiles and University Research			
	knowledge and should exercise and develop increased capacity for independent, honest and critical thought throughout their careers.	governance and integrity policy articulate the responsibilities and expectations of research staff: http://www.bris.ac.uk/red/support/governance/			

5.3	Researchers should recognise their responsibility to conduct and disseminate research results in an honest and ethical manner and to contribute to the wider body of knowledge	University Research governance and integrity policy lays out these responsibilities: http://www.bris.ac.uk/red/support/governance/ Training is provided on research governance and ethics by the Research and Enterprise Development office (RED)	Create action plan related to the Research Integrity Concordat. Completed and from this a set of webpages and new training has been developed. Run twice a year over last 2 years, 16/40 spaces taken in 2017, 20/40 in 2018. Moving to run once in 2019 with 24 spaces, and refine key objectives with RED.	Birgit Whitman, Head of Research Governance	Dec 2015
5.4	Researchers should also be aware that the skills and achievements required to move on from a research position may not be the same as the skills and achievements which they displayed to reach that position.	Role profiles and academic career pathways make this clear.	No additional actions identified.*		
5.5	Researchers should recognise that the primary responsibility for managing and pursuing their career is theirs. Accordingly, they should identify training needs and actively seek out opportunities for learning and development in order to further that career and take personal responsibility for their choices. Research managers and employers also have a responsibility to provide honest advice and	This is very much the ethos of our provision. We provide information and opportunities via website, e-mail etc. but the emphasis is on RS to take the initiative.	No additional actions identified.*		

appropriate structures, and to equip researchers with the tools to manage their own careers. Research manage should encourage research staff und their supervision to attend appropriatraining and career development courses and events.	•			
Researchers should ensure that their career development requirements are activities are regularly discussed, monitored and evaluated throughouthe year in discussion with their research manager and mentor, and they commit themselves fully to all sactivities. Researchers are encourage to record their Personal Development Planning (PDP) and CPD activities, alof which may be presented to current and future employers as appropriate	discussions between research staff and their managers.	Develop and deliver a workshop for research staff on how to get the most out of their Staff Review. Success measure is that 80% of attendees found the workshop useful. First workshop happening on the 28 th Nov 2016. We will report progress in 2018 update. We ran two workshops in 2016/17 and had 18 attendees – of those who completed feedback 100% found the session very or quite effective. We paused on running these in 2017/2018 due to a change in priorities but are running two sessions in 2018/19.	Alison Leggett, Head of Academic Staff Development.	July 2015 Dec 2018.

	No additional actions identified.*	

E: DIVERSITY AND EQUALITY Principle 6: Diversity and equality must be promoted in all aspects of the recruitment and career management of researchers. **Evidence for current compliance** Lead(s) Clause Actions **Timescale** The UK legislative framework outlaws E&D is embedded in the culture and No additional actions 6.1 discrimination on the basis of age, committee structure of the University identified.* disability, sex, sexual orientation, race and the principles are upheld in all our or religion. It also requires public bodies policies. to take positive steps to promote equality, based on evidence and E&D team develops and monitors priorities, and to develop specific policy and practice across the schemes and action plans related to University within the context of the gender, race and disability to address legislation. specific issues of underrepresentation All relevant policies and action plans or lack of progression. are in place and the impact of new policies on different equality groups is monitored. As is the case for society as a whole, UK This legal requirement is policy at the No additional actions 6.2 research will benefit from increasing University. identified.*

equality and diversity in the recruitment and retention of researchers. The

	Concordat encourages the recruitment	All staff who sit on interview panels are		
	and retention of researchers from the	required to attend recruitment and		
	widest pool of available talent, including	selection training which includes		
	those from diverse backgrounds.	specific emphasis on E&D and		
		unconscious bias.		
		In March 2014 the University joined		
		the Stonewall Diversity Champions		
		programme, Britain's leading		
		employers' programme for ensuring		
		all lesbian, gay, bi and trans staff are		
		accepted without exception in the		
		workplace. On 24th June 2014 there		
		was a launch event to celebrate the		
		University joining the programme.		
		From July 2016 we have taken part in		
		and sponsored the annual Bristol Pride		
		celebrations and in November 2016		
		we won Best Employer at the Bristol		
		Pride Awards.		
6.3	It should be emphasised that the	The EDI Steering Group was	No additional actions	
	demanding nature of research careers	established to drive through activity	identified.*	
	has a disproportionate effect on certain	that will help diversify our staff and		
	groups. We strongly recommend that all	student communities and create a		
	members of the UK research community	more inclusive culture. This Group is		
	actively address the disincentives and	chaired by our PVC for Research.		
	indirect obstacles to retention and			
	progression in research careers which	The Academic Career Equalities		
		Working Group, chaired by the Deputy		

	_	<u> </u>	
may disproportionately impact on some	Vice-Chancellor, was established in		
groups more than others.	2013 to support the University's		
	commitment to equality in the career		
	development, progression and		
	retention of all academic staff		
	(including research staff).		
	The career pathways of women in		
	academic roles (incl. research roles) are		
	monitored and reported on annually as		
	part of our E&D Annual Monitoring		
	Report Each Faculty now has an		
	Equality Committee that explores		
	diversity-related issues locally		
	The University's Returning Carers'		
	Scheme supports individuals in re-		
	establishing their independent		
	research careers on their return from		
	extended leave for reasons connected		
	to caring (such as maternity or		
	adoption leave):		
	http://www.bristol.ac.uk/equalityanddi		
	versity/workandfamily/rcs/index.html		
	Mentoring Circles for Women in		
	STEMM:		
	http://www.bristol.ac.uk/equalityanddi		
	versity/act/protected/gender/swancha		
	rter/setmentoring.html		
	See 3.8.		

University SWAN network set up for Schools to share practice (via meetings, Yammer and email discussions). The network attends an annual event with other SWAN leads from the Universities of GW4 to hear presentations, ask questions and learn from others experiences. Athena SWAN newsletter: http://www.bris.ac.uk/equalityanddive rsity/act/protected/gender/swancharte r/athenaswannewletter html The newsletter was replaced with the **Yammer and Twitter account where** we can provide real time news and updates. **Annual Libra lecture:** http://www.bris.ac.uk/equalityanddive rsity/act/protected/gender/events ht ml . Libra Lecture being arranged for 2017. The EDI team has established a framework for outreach activity under our Women in STEMM initiative. See https://www.bristol.ac.uk/biochemist ry/public/news/2017/women-instemm-day-2017.html and

	https://www.bristol.ac.uk/chemistry/		
	swan/women-in-stemm/		
	HerStories		
	(http://www.bristol.ac.uk/equalityan		
	ddiversity/act/protected/gender/even		
	ts_html) talks were launched in 2016.		
	Ada Lovelace day:		
	http://www.bris.ac.uk/equalityanddive		
	rsity/act/protected/gender/adalovelac		
	eday2014_html		
	We have undertaken outreach events		
	for ALD in 2015		
	(http://www.bristol.ac.uk/news/2015		
	/november/ada-outreach.html) and		
	expanded it to Women in Science and		
	Engineering in 2016.		
	Bristol Doctoral College hosts a blog		
	by one of our postdoc researchers		
	annually for ALD see:		
	https://bdc.bris.ac.uk/tag/ada-		
	lovelace-day/		
	During 2016 we launched new		
	guidance surrounding trans staff and		
	students and commenced a		
	programme of sessions to raise		
	awareness and increase		
	understanding of issues facing trans		
	staff and students working with GIRES		
	(Gender Identity Research and		
	The state of the s		

		Education Society) and more recently Gendered intelligence. See www.bristol.ac.uk/inclusion/events-and-campaigns/inclusion-matters-events/trans-awareness-the-basics.html		
6.4	Employers should ensure that the working conditions for researchers provide the flexibility necessary for successful research performance in line with legal requirements. Employers should recognise that for parents and others who have taken career breaks, including parental leave, have worked part-time, or have taken atypical routes into research, the "early career" period may be prolonged, and this may be a time where the risk of attrition from the research path is most acute. Working conditions should allow both female and male researchers to combine family and work, children and career.	See 6.3 and 6.5 for more details on flexible working and initiatives that are available to our staff who may have caring responsibilities. We have the "Work and Family" web resource that brings together key policy and information parents and carers: www.bristol.ac.uk/inclusion/support-and-guidance/work-and-family/ We have a Parents' and Carers' Network: https://www.bristol.ac.uk/inclusion/support-and-guidance/staff-networks/parents-and-carers-network/ The University is committed to upholding the principles laid out in the Athena SWAN charter and is a founder signatory.	No additional actions identified.*	

https://www.bristol.ac.uk/inclusion/ev ents-and-campaigns/athena-swan/ The University is committed to upholding the principles laid out in the Athena SWAN charter and is a founder signatory. http://www.bristol.ac.uk/equalityanddi versity/act/protected/gender/swancha rter/overview.html The introduction of Academic Career pathways and role profiles ensure that career progression is based on role and competence rather than "time served". In May 2016 we held a week of events for Mental Health Awareness Week. these included talks and workshops on mental health and exercise classes such as yoga and walking. We hold 1 event per term for our **Working Parents and Carers Networks.** Recent events have included First Aid for Parents, Coffee Catch up and **Looking After Me! Workshop** In October 2017 the University signed the Time to Change pledge as a visible sign of our commitment to mental wellbeing. See

		www.bristol.ac.uk/news/2017/octobe r/time-to-change.html We have improved guidance and policy for mangers supporting staff with mental health difficulties and introduced the Wellness Action Plan initiative to the University to facilitate conversations around mental health in the workplace. See https://www.bristol.ac.uk/inclusion/s upport-and-guidance/staff-mental-health-and-wellbeing/		
6.5	It is important for employers to respond flexibly to requests for changed work patterns and to resist instant refusals on the assumption that, because research has always been carried out in a particular way, it cannot be done differently	The University has a comprehensive flexible working policy: http://www.bris.ac.uk/personnel/policies/flexwork.html	No additional actions identified.*	
6.6	Funders should continue to ensure that their funding mechanisms and policies are adapted to changing diversity and equality legislation and guidance, for example in their provision of additional funding and duration of grant to cover paternity and adoptive leave as well as maternity leave.	The process in place is that the individual department concerned should talk to the funder directly. If the funder will not support the leave, HR become involved and the University will cover the period of leave.	No additional actions identified.*	

6.7	Employers should aim for a	Our staff profile is monitored by age,	Ongoing monitoring in line	HR, Equality and	Ongoing
	representative balance of gender,	gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation,	with University policy.	Safety and Health	5 0 0
	disability, ethnicity and age at all levels	religion and disability and reported on	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Committee.	
	of staff, including at supervisory and	annually to the HR Committee and to			
	managerial level. This should be	the Board. These reports are published			
	achieved on the basis of a transparent	on the E&D website:			
	equal opportunity policy at recruitment	https://www.bristol.ac.uk/inclusion/go			
	and at all subsequent career stages.	vernance-and-reporting/			
	Diversity should be reflected on				
	selection and evaluation committees.	The importance of gender balance on			
	What is 'representative' will vary	recruitment and selection panels is			
	according to the nature of the	included in recruitment and selection			
	institution and the academic research	training and policy:			
	subject, but institutions should aim to	http://www.bris.ac.uk/personnel/recru			
	ensure that the percentage of	itment/			
	applicants, and ultimately				
	appointments, from a particular group	We provide briefing sheets on			
	to any given level should reflect the	mitigating unconscious bias to			
	percentage in the available pool at the	recruiting managers at shortlisting and			
	level immediately below.	interview stage, and have a range of			
		resources available online			
		https://www.bristol.ac.uk/inclusion/t			
		raining/unconscious-bias/			
		We have a particular focus on	New Action: Continue to	Jenny Smith, HR	Ongoing
		supporting the career development of	monitor number of staff of	Manager (Policy &	
		female academic staff, in order to	each gender at	Reward)	
		enable women to progress into key	professorial level.		
		leadership positions and to improve	Con you out for lot out data		
		the diversity of the workforce, and this	See report for latest data		
		includes an ongoing commitment to			
		monitoring the proportion of female			

		senior academic staff within the		
		organisation. The number of female		
		professors currently stands at 22.3% of		
		the professoriate (as at November		
		2016), which is a 5% increase since		
		2014-15		
6.8	Account should also be taken of the	Reasonable adjustments are made on	No additional actions	
	personal circumstances of groups of	an individual basis for disabled staff	identified.*	
	researchers. Examples would include	and accessibility is considered when		
	researchers who have responsibility for	planning any training courses.		
	young children or adult dependants, researchers for whom English is not a	The University provides specific advice		
	first language, older or younger	The University provides specific advice and guidance for international staff		
	researchers, or researchers with	through a website and international		
	disabilities and long-term health issues.	advisers. See 1.2 for new action on		
	Employers and funders should change	this.		
	policies or practices that directly or			
	indirectly disadvantage such groups	University policies and practices are		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	subject to 'equality analysis' where		
		relevant to ensure that they do not		
		directly or indirectly discriminate		
		against specific groups. See		
		https://www.bristol.ac.uk/inclusion/go		
		vernance-and-reporting/equality-		
		analysis/		
6.9	All managers of research should ensure	The Acceptable Behaviour policy	No additional actions	
	that measures exist at every institution	provides a framework within which	identified.*	
	through which discrimination, bullying	instances of unacceptable behaviour		
	or harassment can be reported and	can be addressed and resolved:		
	addressed without adversely affecting the careers of innocent parties.	https://www.bristol.ac.uk/inclusion/su		
	the careers of innocent parties.	pport-and-guidance/acceptable- behaviour/		
		<u>benaviour/</u>		

		The University has an in-house counselling service and a network of Acceptable Workplace Behaviours advisers https://www.bristol.ac.uk/inclusion/support-and-guidance/acceptable-behaviour/acceptable-workplace-behaviour-advisers/			
6.10	Employers should also consider participation in schemes such as the Athena SWAN Charter, the Juno Project and other initiatives aimed at promoting diversity in research careers.	The University is an active member of the Athena SWAN charter. The University holds a Bronze renewal award whilst 14 schools have awards (10 Bronze and 4 Silver). The School of Physics holds a Practitioner level Juno award. They will be making a Champion level application in 2017 The University holds a bronze award and 7 Schools have awards (3 silver, 4 bronze). The School of Physics holds a Juno	Continued support for Schools that put forward for accreditation. Success measure is that all STEMM Schools have applied for an award by April 2015. 13 out of 15 STEMM schools currently hold an award (see new action plan for future application timeline.) New action: Actively encourage non-STEMM Schools to engage with the charter.	Vikki Layton, Equality and Diversity Officer Vikki Layton, Equality and Diversity Officer	Apr 2015. Ongoing

Complete - We received our first non STEMM Award following a April 2018 submission, whilst 2 further Schools are planning to submit in November 2019.	
Encourage and support Schools wanting to work towards Gender Charter Mark once it is introduced. This is no longer relevant.	

E: Implementation and Review

Principle 7: The sector and all stakeholders will undertake regular and collective review of their progress in strengthening the attractiveness and sustainability of research careers in the UK.

	Clause	Evidence for current compliance	Actions	Lead(s)	Timescale
7.1	The implementation of the Concordat's	The University's Concordat	Ongoing monitoring.	Prof. Nishan	Ongoing but
	principles will lead to greater	Implementation Group consists of the	Completed review Dec	Canagarajah, Pro	review Dec
	integration of researchers into the	HR director (Guy Gregory) and Deputy	2016.	Vice-Chancellor	2016. Next
	mainstream management and career	HR director (Fiona Ford), PVC Research		(Research)	review Dec
	development structures of their	(Prof. Nishan Canagarajah) and Head of			2018.
	employing organisations. The aim of this	Academic Staff Development (Alison			
	section is to promote implementation	Leggett). This group will regularly			

	through a collective commitment to	review progress against the action			
	reviewing its progress.	plan.			
7.2	The signatories agree:	Monitoring of Concordat	Carry out CROS 2015 survey	Alison Leggett, Head	Dec 2015
	a. to constitute a steering group under	implementation is overseen by the	and publish report and	of Academic Staff	
	an independent chair to oversee the	Group mentioned in 7.1 which gathers	action plan. Complete.	Development	
	implementation and review of the	relevant information from a number of			
	Concordat with appropriate	sources including:	New Action: Carry out 2017	Alison Leggett, Head	Dec 2017
	representation of the funders and		CROS survey. Success	of Academic Staff	
	sector bodies including the Professional	- Consultation with the Research Staff	measure is 55%	Development	
	Institutions. This group will inform the	Representatives committee and	engagement.		
	UK Research Base Funders' Forum of	Research Staff Working Party	Complete – Engagement		
	progress.	- CROS survey	level almost identical to		
	b. to procure an independent	- Internal Staff Survey	2015. Engagement appears		
	benchmarking study to assess the state		to have dropped at 39%.		
	of the sector at the launch of this		However, 2017 cohort		
	Concordat.		included over 250 staff left		
	c. to contribute an appropriate share of		out in 2015 (due to systems		
	the costs of supporting implementation		coding).		
	and review, including the benchmarking				
	report.		Carry out next Staff Survey		
	d. to draw up an implementation plan		and publish report and	Helen Woods, Staff	Apr 2016
	for the Concordat, to ensure a coherent		action plan. Complete.	Development	
	and sustained approach by		http://www.bristol.ac.uk/p	Manager (Culture	
	organisations operating in the sector		ositive-working/staff-	Change)	
	and the appropriate use of survey and		survey/		
	monitoring tools such as the Careers in				
	Research Online Survey (CROS).		Complete - Staff survey		
	e. to undertake and publish a major		2018 carried out, and		
	review of the implementation of the		results disseminated.		
	Concordat after three years reporting to				
	the signatories and taking account of				
	progress against the benchmark report				

	and the views of researchers and employers (both outside and within the HE sector).				
7.3	The signatory funders will ensure that their terms and conditions of, for example, project grants include the expectation that the Research Organisations that they fund will adopt the principles of the revised Concordat.	n/a	No actions required.		
7.4	The signatories recognise the value of innovation in practices and of sharing practice between institutions and aim to promote these throughout the implementation and review process. The funding signatories will consider aligning their support for transferable and career development skills. It is expected that Vitae, the national programme dedicated to realising the potential of researchers, funded by the Research Councils, will play a major role in innovating, sharing practice and enhancing the capability of the sector to implement aspects of the Concordat, as well as establishing strategic partnerships between funders.	The University continues to share practice in this area with other Universities, including through attendance and involvement in the Vitae events. Academic Staff Development have run several joint events with other institutions, for example: SouthWest Crucible with UWE and University of Bath (2013 and 2014). RENKEI Researcher Development School with Newcastle and Kyoto Universities (2013): http://www.renkei-researcher-schools.org/ We have offered places on a number of our programmes to local universities.	Review SouthWest Crucible and decide whether to continue to run this in the context of work with GW4 and other developments in our portfolio for research staff. We are now running a Crucible programme as part of our GW4 alliance.	Prof. Nishan Canagarajah, Pro Vice-Chancellor (Research)	March 2015

The University is now a partner in the GW4 alliance with Bath, Cardiff and Exeter universities. The Developing People strand of GW4 activity is looking at how we can coordinate programmes across the institutions for added value.	New Action: Evaluate this programme and the potential for developing an alumni network. Success measures are that 90% of participants would recommend the main programme to others and that we have an alumni event once a year. Complete - Alumni network was built into Crucible 2016/17. Cruciblees attended sections of Crucible 2017/18. This integration will be ongoing.	Pam Lock, GW4 Developing People Officer.	Aug 2017
	Across the two Crucible programmes we have run, an average of 95% of participants would recommend it to others. Develop a process for offering places on development courses across the four institutions. Complete. 55 people attended courses at other institutions in 2015/16.	Richard Budd, GW4 Developing People Officer and Alison Leggett, Head of Academic Staff Development.	June 2015

			New Action: Increase this number to 100 people attending sessions at other institutions in 2016/17. GW4 elected not to prioritise promoting shared development in 2017 action plan but these sessions are still available to those staff who want to attend something at	Pam Lock, GW4 Developing People Officer.	Aug 2017
7.5	Under public sector equality schemes,	CROS data provides some of this data.	another of the institutions. No additional actions		
'.5	employers are required to monitor	and also provided some or this data.	identified.*		
	equality and diversity indicators for				
	their researchers. This section focuses				
	on the co-ordination and enhancement				
	of existing information collection and				
	not on the creation of additional data.				
	There is a strong presumption that in				
	implementing the Concordat, significant				
	emphasis will be placed on the use of				
	existing data and information sources3				
	and on the sharing of good practice				
	between institutions and to provide				
	evidence of its impact.				

^{*}Where no additional actions have been identified at the current time, we will continue to maintain activity, monitor and review on regular basis to ensure ongoing effectiveness. We will therefore be able to identify any further actions through this review process.